DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6941

ISSN: 2320 – 7051 *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **6** (5): 142-146 (2018)





Research Article

Correlation and Path analysis in Cowpea [(Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.)]

Pushkar Singh Patel, Sanjay Kumar^{*}, Rakesh Kumar Meena, Pradeep Kumar and Tribhuvan Rai

Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University,

Vidya-Vihar, Rae Bareli Road, Lucknow-226025 *Corresponding Author E-mail: sanjay123bhu@gmail.com Received: 15.08.2018 | Revised: 22.09.2018 | Accepted: 29.09.2018

ABSTRACT

The present experiment was carried out entitled "Correlation and Path analysis in Cowpea [(Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.)]" during kharif season of the year 2014-2015 at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University), Vidya- Vihar, Rae Bareli Road, Lucknow-226025 (U.P.) India. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The experimental materials consisting sixteen genotypes of cowpea i.e. Kashi Unnati, Kashi Shyamal, Kashi Gauri, Kashi Kanchan, Kashi Nidhi, IC-559393, IC-259063, IC-559405, IC-202786, IC-559386, IC-202776, IC-242598, EC-9736, EC-1738, EC-30590 and EC-15296. The maximum correlation coefficient at genotype level and phenotypic level was observed for average pod yield per plot. The results of path coefficient for parent are highest positive direct effect towards pod yield per plant was showed by plant height.

Key words: Correlation coefficient at genotype level, Phenotypic level and Path coefficient.

INTRODUCTION

The cowpea [(Vigna unguiculata (L) Walp.)] is native of Africa. It is one of the most popular and cosmopolitan vegetable crop grown in many parts of India and elsewhere in the world. It is a rich and inexpensive source of vegetable protein. It enriches soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen. Because of its quick growth habit it has become an essential component of sustainable agriculture in marginal lands of the tropics. Cowpea popularity with farmers can be attributed to its multiple uses and its adaptability to different environments. Cowpea farmers benefit

through enhanced food security, cash income, crop diversification, fodder bank, *in situ* grazing after harvesting in periods of year when cowpeas grain prices peak and when good quality fodder is scarce. Farmers also benefit as they use cowpea products such as boiled beans, porridge and stock feed. Characterization of available germplasm is a necessary first step to facilitate breeding efforts; it especially benefits a plant breeder in choosing proper parental materials. To improve yield potentials in an existing crop, an understanding of the variability is necessary to formulate and accelerate breeding program¹³.

Cite this article: Patel, P. S., Kumar, S., Meena, R. K., Kumar, P. and Rai, T., Correlation and Path analysis in Cowpea [(*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.)], *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **6**(5): 142-146 (2018). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6941

Patel et al

Corresponding heritability, genetic advance and genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation within a crop will facilitate in selection of superior genotypes which is proportional to the amount of genetic variability present and the extent to which the characters are inherited. Since. many economic traits are quantitative in nature and highly influenced by the environment, the progress of breeding is governed by the nature of genetic and non-genetic variations; it will be useful to partition the overall variability into its heritable and non-heritable components to know whether superiority of selection is inherited by the progenies. Effective selection of genotypes for desirable traits is determined by the estimates of heritability along with genetic advance. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to estimate the variability, heritability and genetic advance in 22bush cowpea genotypes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

present investigation was done at The Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Applied Plant Science (Horticulture), Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Vidya- Vihar, Rea Bareli Road, Lucknow during the year 2014-15. Lucknow is characterized by sub-tropical climate with hot, dry summer and cold winter. The soil of experimental farm was saline with soil pH 8.2, conductivity 4.0 and sodium Electrical exchangeable percentage 15.0. During the period of experiment, meteorological observations were recorded from Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Lucknow. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design. In the present investigation sixteen diverse genotypes of cowpea were used as experimental materials. The 16 genotypes are collected from Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi. Genotypes are Kashi Unnati, Kashi Shyamal, Kashi Gauri, Kashi Kanchan, Kashi Nidhi, IC-

559393, IC-259063, IC-559405, IC-202786, IC-559386, IC-202776, IC-242598, EC-9736, EC-1738, EC-30590 and EC-15296 used. Observations were recorded like plant height (cm), number of primary branches/plant, number of branches per plant, days to first pod picking, pod length, pod diameter, number of pods per peduncle, pod weight per plant, pod vield per plot, peduncle length (cm), number of peduncle per plant, duration or reproductive phase, number of seeds per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100 seeds weight, vitamin A (IU) and vitamin C (mg/100g).were recorded. The heritable variation was further divided into additive and non additive components and the later function included dominance and interallelic interaction Fisher et al.9, Panse, and Lush¹⁴. More attribution of the heritable component to the total variation of desirable characters becomes essential. Scientists have given more emphasis to improve the yield of a crop by studying the variability and heritability of yield and yield attributing components¹⁰.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The correlation coefficient at genotypic level are presented in (Table-1) that pod yield per plant had positive and significant genotypic correlation coefficient with pod yield per plant (1.000) followed by number of peduncle per plant (0.0269). However, negative and significant correlations were recorded for pod yield per plant with days to first pod picking (-1.4504). Days to first pod picking (0.9483) showed positive and significant correlation followed by number of seeds per pod (-0.1115) and negative and significant correlations were recorded for plant height (-0.6427). Number of pods per peduncle (0.5861) followed by number of peduncle per plant (0.0096) showed positive and significant correlation and negative and significant correlations were recorded for plant height (-0.4931). Number of primary branches per plant (0.5380) showed positive and significant correlation followed

Patel et al

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

by days to first pod picking (0.0365) and negative and significant correlations were recorded for primary branches per plant (-0.9256). Positive and significant genotypic correlation coefficient with vitamin-C (0.2426) followed by number of seeds per plant (0.05380). However, negative and significant correlations were recorded for number of peduncle per plant (-0.8543). Number of peduncle per plant (0.4851) followed by days to first pod picking (0.0043) showed positive and significant correlation and negative and significant correlations were recorded for number of branches per plant t (-0.5223), peduncle length (cm) (0.4751) followed by plant height (0.02997) showed positive and significant correlation and negative and significant correlations were recorded for number of branches per plant (-0.4498), and positive and significant genotypic correlation coefficient with number of seeds per plant (0.3597) followed by 100 seed weight (0.0835). However, negative and significant correlations were recorded for number of peduncle per plant (-0.6540).

At the phenotypic level are presented in (Table-2) that pod vield per plant had positive and significant genotypic correlation coefficient (1.000) followed by number of seeds per pod (0.0731). However, negative and significant correlations were recorded for vitamin-C (-0.0182). Vitamin-A had positive and significant correlation with pods per peduncle (0.0461) except negative and significant correlation with number of branches per plant (-0.0114), number of primary branches per plant (0.5696) showed positive and significant correlation followed by vitamin-C (0.0103) and negative and significant correlations were recorded for pod diameter (-0.4396).Vitamin –C (0.5363) followed by peduncle length (0.0216) showed positive and significant correlation and negative and significant correlations were recorded for number of peduncle per plant (-0.2555), days to first open flower (0.5155) followed by number of pods per plant (0.01919) showed positive and significant correlation and negative and significant correlations were recorded for number of branches per plant (-0.0166), and positive and significant genotypic correlation coefficient with 100 seeds weight (0.5092) followed by number of seeds per pod (0.0348). However, negative and significant correlations were recorded for number of peduncle per plant (-0.0828).

The path coefficient analysis was obtained for clear, understanding of association of the genotypic correlation coefficient of yield with contributing components. The genotypic correlation coefficient was partitioned into direct and indirect effects through path coefficient analysis of parents. The results of path coefficient for parent are presented in Table-3. At genotypic level, highest positive direct effect towards pod yield per plant was showed by plant height (2.0266) followed by number of seeds per plant (2.0180), pod length (cm) (1.3435), days to first pod picking (1.3398), number of pods per peduncle (1.2163), vitamin-A (1.2087), number of primary branches per plant (1.0063), pod diameter (cm) (0.8480) and days to first open flower (0.3174). Highest negative effect towards pod yield per plant was showed by number of peduncle per plant (-3.8261) followed by vitamin-C (-2.5669), number of seeds per plant (-1.8234), pod weight per plant (-1.8234), number of pod per plant (-1.2892), number of branches per plant (-0.4917) and number of seeds per pod (-0.4038).

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6 (5): 142-146 (2018)

 Table 1: Genotypic Correlation coefficient for different pairs of characters in 16 parents of cowpea 2014-15

No	Character	Pods per plant	Branches per plant	Pad longth	Sceda per pad	Days to first spen flawer	Days to pad first picking	Pedunele per plant	ped weight per plant	Ped diameter em	Pada per pedunele	Peduncie length	100 scods weight	Sceda per plant	Vitamin A(IU)	Vitamin c	Primary branches per plant	Duration of reproduct ive phase	Pad yield per plat (p)
1.	Plant height en	-0.0523*	-0.9454	-0.3591**	0.0554*	0.3121**	0.6427**	0.1730*	0.1593**	-0.4338**	-0.4931**	0.2997**	0.5444**	-0.2793**	-0.1360	0.4396	0.2462**	0.2980*	-0.4218
2.	Pods per plant		-0.4346**	-0.2082*	0.2408*	0.2595	-0.1525	-0.1327*	-0.2399	0.0248*	0.0950*	-0.2552	-0.0013*	0.1266*	0.2786**	0.2942*	-0.0343	0.4486	-0.5257
3	Branches per plant			-0.5012	-0.4671	-0.7587	-0.9483	-0.5223**	0.4739**	-0.0710*	-0.0932	-0.4495**	0.1758	-0.0791*	-0.6573	-0.0209	0.1072**	0.2426	-0.0795**
4	Pod longth				0.0779+	0.1330**	0.2874**	0.4851**	0.0587*	0.0693	0.4137**	-0.1664	-0.6540	-0.1510	0.2810	0.4405	-0.5095	0.3938	-0.2182**
5	Scoda per ped				+	0.0103*	0.1115*	-0.1769	-0.2540	0.3294**	-0.1134	0.3332	-0.1455**	-0.1973	0.0851	-0.0503	-0.4454	0.0752*	-0.1961
6	Days to First Open Flower						1.4178**	-0.0982	-0.2826	-0.1344	0.1362	-0.3632	-0.9223	-0.5814	-0.8425	-0.2162	-0.5354	-0.7785	-0.9044
7	Days to ped first picking							0.0043*	-0.3111	0.2038	0.3489	-0.1704	-0.0330	-0.0288*	-1.8469**	-0.0771	0.9256**	-0.9354	-1.4504**
8	Poduncie per plant								0.3602**	-0.1653	0.0096*	-0.0949*	-0.5326	-0.3418	0.2064	-0.8543	-0.3648	0.0244	0.0269**
9	Ped weight per plant				1					-0.0790*	0.5861**	-0.0277	0.1648	0.2017**	-0.8716	0.0162*	0.0365	-1.0168	-0.7308
10	Ped Dismeter em										-0.1208	0.4751**	0.2510**	-0.3719**	0.1172	0.1617	-0.5816	0.2452	-0.2920
11	Peds per pedunele											-0.2743	-0.0319	0.3597	-0.1138	-0.0569	-0.1870	-0.5651	0.0788
12	Peduncic length												0.1585	-0.4410	0.1290	-0.3173	-0.0803	0.1846*	0.0408
13	100 acoda weight				1				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			0.0835*	-0.8395**	0.2426	0.1049	-0.2756	-0.3129
14	Scoda per plant														-0.3211**	0.0221*	0.5380**	-0.5964	-0.1635
15	Vitamin A(ga)															-0.8207	0.0585**	-0.3235	-0.1299
16	Vitamin C																-0.1880	-0.5301	-1.0141
17	Primary branches per plant				1													-0.3380	0.2514**
18	Duration of Reproductive Phase							-											-0.5443
19	Ped Yield Per Plat (r)				+				-				-			-	<u> </u>		1.0000**

*,** Significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively.

Table 2: Phonotypical Correlation coefficient for different pairs of characters in 16 parents of cowpea 2014-15

Na	Character	Pada per plant	Branches per plant	Pad length	Scods per pod	Daya te first apon flawor	Daya ta pad firat picking	Peduncie per plant	pad weight per plant	Pad dia meter em	Pada per pedunele	Peduncie length	100 seeds weight	Scods per plant	Vitamin A(IU)	Vitamin C	Primary branches per plant	Duration of reproduct ive phase	Pad yield per plat(g)
1.	Plant height (em)	0.2864**	-0.3232**	0.1665*	0.1882	0.4498**	0.4209**	0.4373**	0.4184**	-0.1398*	-0.2445**	0.4201	0.0950	0.1160	0.4615	0.2015	0.3295**	0.2405	0.3113**
2.	Pods per plant		-0.1461	0.1111	0.2880*	0.5155**	0.3568	0.1218*	0.2126	0.1156	0.1467	-0.0652*	0.2786	0.3022	0.4987	0.5004*	0.0778	0.0362*	0.0461**
3	Branches per plant			-0.1197*	0.2442	-0.0166*	0.0636	-0.1989*	0.0581	0.0396*	-0.0171*	-0.2376**	0.3838**	0.1306	-0.0114*	0.2637	0.1958	0.4313	0.2774
4	Ped length				0.1076*	0.4044**	0.4340	0.6110**	0.4688**	0.1892	0.4087	0.0677*	-0.0912	0.1339	0.5876**	0.0910*	-0.2605	0.0817	0.2960
5	Scoda per ped					0.1914*	0.2141	-0.0138*	0.0156*	0.5042**	0.0406	0.3639	0.0345*	-0.0417*	0.1983	0.1168	0.3005+-	0.1895	0.0731
6	Days to first open flower						0.4379**	0.3519	0.5201	0.0997	0.1866	0.0756	0.3601	0.0754*	0.3658	0.4517	-0.0940	0.1631	0.2981
7	Days to pod first picking							0.4303	0.6544**	0.2228	0.2477	0.2281	0.5092**	0.3772**	0.3509	0.5442	-0.1248*	0.2448	0.3100
8	Pedunele per plant								0.1672	-0.0252**	0.0828	0.0752	-0.0828*	-0.0539	0.4793	0.2555*	-0.1952	0.3046	0.3794
9	Ped weight per plant									0.0560	0.4489**	0.2267	0.5214	0.4392	0.3204	0.4867	0.1997	-0.0610	0.2644
10	Ped Diameter em										0.0122*	0.4501**	0.2919	-0.1969	0.1966	0.2388	-0.4396	0.2931	-0.0096*
11	Pods per podunele											-0.1906	0.0564	0.3705	0.0461*	0.0495	-0.1398	0.3432	0.1663
12	Poduncic longth												0.3059	-0.2301**	0.3222	0.0216*	0.0060*	0.3299*	0.2609
13	100 scods weight												_	0.3204	0.0610	0.5363*	0.2189	0.1846	0.2843
14	Scods per plant														0.1694	0.2965	0.5696**	-0.1665	0.2348
15	Vitamin A(IU)				_	_									-	0.1291	0.2171	0.3062*	0.5267**
16	Vitamin- C																0.0103*	0.0676*	-0.0182
17	Primary branches per plant		-							_									
18	Duration of Reproductive Phase	1																40.1233	0.1641
19	Ped Yield Per Plet (g)																		1.0000**

 $^{*}_{_{\mathcal{W}}}$ Significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively.

Table 3: Genotypic path coefficient analysis (direct and indirect effect) of yield contributing characters of Cowpea 2014-15

No	Character	Pada per	Branches	Ped longth	Seeds	Days to	Days to	Pedunele	pad	Ped	Pods per	Pedunele	100 scods	Sceda per	Vitamin-	Vitamin- C	Primary	duration	Ped yield
		Prant	per plant		ber bee	ance	pau trat	per plant	TUÇAL	and Motor	provincie	- colgan	weight	Puint	AGLU)		presidents	rearadurt	ber best (f)
						flawer	1		plant								te ter	ive phase	
1.	Plant height(on)	2.0266	-0.1060	-1.9159	-0.7278	0.1124	-0.6324	-1.3025	0.3506	-0.3837	-0.8792	-0.9993	0.6073	-1.1032	-0.5661	-0.2756	-0.8909	0.4989	-0.6040
2.	Pods per plant	0.0674	-1.2892	0.5603	0.2684	-0.3105	-0.3345	0.1966	0.1711	0.3093	-0.0319	-0.1225	0.3291	0.0016	-0.1632	-0.3591	-0.3792	0.0442	0.5784
3	Branches per plant	0.4648	0.2137	-0.4917	0.2464	0.2297	0.3730	0.4663	0.2568	0.2330	0.0349	0.0458	0.2212	-0.0865	0.0389	0.3232	0.0103	-0.0527	-0.1193
4	Pod longth	-0.4825	-0.2797	-0.6733	1.3435	-0.1047	-0.1787	-0.3862	0.6517	0.0789	0.0937	0.5558	-0.2236	-0.8787	-0.2029	0.3776	-0.5918	-0.6845	-0.5291
5	Seeds per ped	-0.0224	-0.0972	0.1885	0.0314	-0.4036	-0.0042	-0.0450	0.0714	0.1025	-0.1329	0.0458	-0.1345	0.0587	0.0796	-0.0343	0.0203	0.1798	-0.0303
6	Days to first open flower	-0.0990	0.0824	-0.2408	-0.0422	0.0033	0.3174	-0.4500	-0.0312	-0.0897	-0.0427	0.0432	-0.1153	-0.1023	-0.1845	-0.2674	-0.0686	-0.1699	-0.2471
7	Days to ped first picking	-0.8611	-0.2043	-1.2705	-0.3851	0.1494	-1.8995	1.3398	0.0058	-0.4169	0.2730	0.4674	-0.2283	-0.0443	-0.0386	-2.4744	-0.1033	-1.2401	-1.2532
8	Pedunele per plant	-0.6619	0.5077	1.9984	-1.8560	0.6767	0.3758	-0.0165	-3.8261	1.3781	0.6325	-0.0366	0.3632	2.0380	1.3078	-0.7897	3.2687	1.3959	-0.0933
9	Ped weight per plant	0.3209	0.4067	0.8032	-0.0995	0.4306	0.4791	0.5274	0.6106	-1.6951	0.1340	-0.9935	0.0470	-0.2793	-0.3419	1.4775	-0.0274	-0.0618	1.7235
10	Ped Dismeter em	-0.3679	0.0210	-0.0602	0.0592	0.2793	-0.1140	0.1728	-0.1402	-0.0670	0.8480	-0.1024	0.4029	0.2128	-0.3153	0.0994	0.1371	-0.4932	0.2080
11	Peds per peduncle	-0.5998	0.1155	-0.1134	0.5031	-0.1379	0.1656	0.4243	0.0116	0.7129	-0.1469	1.2163	-0.3336	-0.0388	0.4375	-0.1385	-0.0692	-0.2274	-0.6874
12	Peduncle length	-0.8650	0.7368	1.2983	0.4803	-0.9617	1.0482	0.4918	0.2740	0.0800	-1.3714	0.7916	-2.8865	-0.4574	1.2729	-0.3725	0.9160	0.2319	-0.5329
13	100 soods weight	-1.0986	-0.0026	0.3549	-1.3198	-0.2936	-0.6504	-0.0667	-1.0749	0.3325	0.5065	-0.0643	0.3198	2.0180	0.1686	-1.6942	0.4896	0.2117	-0.5562
14	Scods per plant	0.5093	-0.2308	0.1443	0.2754	0.3598	1.0602	0.0525	0.6233	-0.3678	0.6781	-0.6558	0.8041	-0.1523	-1.8234	0.5856	-0.0403	-0.9809	1.0874
15	Vitamin A(IU)	-0.1644	0.3367	-0.7944	0.3397	0.1028	-1.0184	-2.2324	0.2495	-1.0536	0.1416	-0.1376	0.1560	-1.0148	-0.3882	1.2087	-0.9920	0.0707	-0.3910
16	Vitamin C	1.1285	-0.7551	0.0537	1.1307	0.1291	0.5548	0.1979	2.1929	-0.0415	-0.4149	0.1460	0.8146	-0.6228	-0.0567	2.1067	-2.5669	0.4825	1.3608
17	Primary branches per plant	0.2477	-0.0345	0.1079	-0.5127	-0.4482	-0.5388	-0.9314	-0.3671	0.0367	-0.5853	-0.1882	-0.0808	0.1056	0.5414	0.0589	-0.1891	1.0063	-0.3402
18	Duration of reproductive phase	0.0353	0.0532	-0.0288	0.0467	-0.0089	0.0923	0.1109	-0.0029	0.1205	-0.0291	0.0670	-0.0219	0.0327	0.0707	0.0383	0.0628	0.0401	-0.1185
10	Dark wield manales (a)	.0.4218	4 5257	.0.0702	.0.9109	.0 1061	.0.0044	1 4504	0.0260	0.7302	.0 2020	0.0799	0.0400	.0.3120	A 1695	.0 1200	.5 0141	0.2514	0 5443

Residual effect =0.5344 Bold value show direct effect

Patel et al

- REFERENCES
- Adewale, B. D., Okonji, C., Oyekanmi, A. A., Akintobi, D. A. C. and Aremu, C. O., Genotypic variability and stability of some grain yield components of cowpea. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(9): 874-880 (2010).
- Agbogidi, O. M. and Okonmah, L. U., Effect of different spacing on the growth and yield of cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.)Walp.] in Asaba, Nigeria. Biological and Environmental Sciences Journal for the Tropics, 8(4): 37-40 (2011).
- Ahmed, S., Zargar, M. A. and Ali, T., Variability studies in vegetable type cowpea under variable environments, *National Journal of Plant Improvement*, 7(2): 85-87 (2005).
- Altinbas, M. and Sepetoglu, H., A study to determine components affecting seed yield in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.)Walp.]. *Doga, Turk Tarim Ve Orman Cilik Dergisi*, 17: 775-784 (1993).
- 5. Anonymous The state of food and agriculture. Document prepared for the international conference on worlds' state of food. FAO, Rome, Italy (2005).
- 6. Anonymous The state of food and agriculture: Food aid for food security (2006).

- Dewey, D. R. and Lu, K. H., Correlation and path analysis of components of crested Wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.*, 51: 515-51 (1959).
- 8. Donald, C. M., The breeding of crop ideotypes. *Euphytica*, **7:** 433-452 (1968).
- Fisher, R. A., Immer, F. R. and Tendrin, G., The genetical interpretation of statistics of the third degree the study of quantitative inheritance. *Genetics*, 17: 107-124 (1932).
- Grafius, J. E., Heterosis in barley. *Agron.* J., **51:** 551-554 (1959).
- 11. Grafius, J. E., A geometry of plant breeding. *Crop Sci.*, **4**: 241-246 (1964).
- Hanson, C. H., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E., Biometrical studies of yield in segregating population of Korean *Lespedeza. Agron. J.*, 48: 268-272 (1956).
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E., Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agron. J.*, 47: 314-308 (1955).
- 14. Lush, J. L., Animal breeding plants. Iowa state college press Edn. **3:** 443 (1945).
- Mehndiratta, P. D. and Singh, K. B., Genetic diversity in respect of grain yields its Cowpea germplasm from Punjab. *Indian J. Genet.*, **31(2):** 388-392 (1981).